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Measuring Affordability from the Patient’s Perspective

Executive Summary

Rising healthcare costs burden the nation’s economy and impact the competitiveness of U.S. businesses, the sustainability of federal and state government budgets, and family finances. To understand the impacts healthcare costs are having on patients and consumers, the National Quality Forum (NQF), with support from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, organized a two-day meeting that brought together key stakeholders including patients, consumers, health plans, researchers, clinicians, and community health experts. The goal of the meeting was to explore what healthcare affordability means from the consumer and patient perspective and to understand what information patients and consumers need to find affordable care.

During the meeting discussions, consumer and patient representatives emphasized that they first judged whether care was affordable based on what their out-of-pocket costs for healthcare would be relative to their family’s overall budget. They took into consideration tradeoffs that they might need to make in order to afford healthcare and make ends meet. However, cost was not the only factor considered: Consumer and patient representatives also emphasized that they wanted high quality care at the best possible cost, not low quality care at low cost. The discussions with consumers and patients revealed that different groups of patients make different healthcare decisions based on their health status. People who minimally interact with the healthcare system operate differently than those who engage the healthcare system frequently. This complicates efforts to understand affordability.

Context is critical: Consumers and patients view affordability differently based on the particular situation, such as buying insurance, selecting preventive screenings to stay healthy, seeking treatment for an urgent ailment, managing chronic illness, or dealing with end-of-life issues. Each of these situations introduces different complexities, presents different challenges in navigating the healthcare system, and requires different information for decisionmaking. The lack of availability of needed information can often present a barrier in making informed choices, and consumers and patients may even be unsure of what questions to ask to get the answers they need.

Although this meeting focused on the patient and consumer perspective, it is clear that patients alone cannot accomplish the needed changes. Rather, sustainable change will require effort from additional stakeholders—health plans, purchasers and employers, providers, suppliers and industry, and communities. Each group can take different actions to improve the affordability of healthcare, and each group has different resources to add to the effort. There is promise that coordinated action should help ensure that healthcare is affordable for patients and the nation.

Urgent Need to Act on Healthcare Cost and Affordability

Rising healthcare costs have become a significant burden to the nation, with healthcare now comprising approximately 18 percent of the nation’s economy. Beyond national figures, healthcare costs are
having real impacts on the competitiveness of U.S. businesses, on the sustainability of federal and state
government finances, and on families’ budgets. Families have seen their health insurance premiums
increase by almost 130 percent in the past decade while their out-of-pocket spending has risen by
almost 80 percent.2 Because of these increases, families’ real income has been essentially flat for the
past decade as growing healthcare costs have consumed increases in people’s wages and income.3

As patients and consumers shoulder greater healthcare costs, they are increasingly shopping around for
high quality healthcare that they can afford. Yet, people rarely are able to find the information they
need, and, even when they can, the information may not be understandable, or they encounter barriers
in using it to reduce their bills. At the same time, people often do not know that there are options—with
some better for their situation than others—and that the final decision is theirs to make.

NQF Action on Understanding Healthcare Costs and Affordability

To understand the impacts healthcare costs are having on patients and consumers, NQF, with support
from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, organized a two-day meeting that brought together key
stakeholders including patients and families (some who interact with the health system regularly
because of serious health conditions), consumers, health plans, researchers, clinicians, and community
health experts. The goal of the meeting was to explore what healthcare affordability means from the
consumer and patient perspective and to understand what information patients and consumers need to
find affordable care.

This project is part of a portfolio at NQF aimed at improving healthcare affordability, with other projects
including:

- **Linking Cost and Quality**: exploring approaches to combine cost and quality information and
  identifying best practices in producing and communicating this information.
- **Cost and Resource Use**: recognizing and endorsing new cost and resource use measures.
- **Episode Grouper Evaluation Criteria**: understanding the best approaches for collecting costs
  information for episodes of care.
- **MAP Affordability Task Force**: selecting a suite of measures for value-based purchasing and
  public programs that can help promote affordability.

This portfolio of projects is intended to work together to provide tools and guidance to multiple
stakeholders in controlling costs while improving quality and people’s health. The current project
undergirds these efforts by centering attention on patients and their needs.

**Patient Perspectives on Affordability**

Given significant concerns about people’s ability to pay for healthcare, a primary focus of the meeting
was defining affordability from a patient and consumer point of view. Understanding people’s views is
critical to providing useful information to consumers, and allows for assessment of whether different
initiatives affect the affordability of care. The committee recognized that individuals will have different
perspectives depending on whether they are currently receiving healthcare services (patients), are shopping around and considering different healthcare options (consumers), or are part of the broader community (all people). This report largely focuses on the consumer and patient perspective, but does include other terms where appropriate. Additionally, the panel acknowledges that there are many factors influencing the affordability of healthcare to the consumer and patient, including, but not limited to, the actual cost of the resources used to provide healthcare, subsidies provided by employers who purchase healthcare for their employees, and the rate of growth in costs of services. However, this report focuses on helping patients and consumers assess the cost and quality of healthcare for their particular needs and circumstances.

Affordability Centers on Out-of-Pocket Costs

During the meeting discussions, consumer and patient representatives emphasized that they first judged whether care was affordable based on how much of their budget they spent on healthcare. Summarizing this from a financial perspective, patient affordability can be viewed as:

\[
\text{Patient affordability} = \frac{\text{Out of pocket costs}}{\text{Household budget}}
\]

In this equation, out-of-pocket costs include the multiple ways that patients spend on healthcare: health insurance premiums, deductibles, co-pays or co-insurance, paying for healthcare services not covered by insurance, or paying an entire bill for a healthcare service if the patient does not have health insurance. The denominator captures a person’s total earnings from their work and other sources. The meeting participants highlighted that this must be adjusted for other obligations on their income, such as debt from prior medical bills and family responsibilities.

Multiple sources support this definition of affordability. First, this equation fits with an intuitive understanding of affordability—the more that a household budget goes toward healthcare, the more that people have to make trade-offs with other expenses. Second, it is currently used in public policies and is being used to determine whether health insurance options are affordable under state and federal health reform efforts. Furthermore, it is consistent with prior research, with multiple focus group and consumer testing studies finding that people are concerned about their total out-of-pocket costs, and find this information meaningful and useful.

Affordability Does Not Exist in a Vacuum

Although the equation appears simple, applying this model involves several complexities. Most notably, this model assumes high quality care in all dimensions, including health outcomes, patient experience and patient engagement, and safety. Patient and consumer representatives at the meeting stressed the importance of value and noted that they wanted high quality care at the best possible cost, not low quality care at low cost.

While patients and consumers mainly focus on their out-of-pocket costs when calculating affordability, they did cite other factors in their decisions. Indirect costs, like inconvenience, missing work or other commitments, managing childcare and eldercare responsibilities, and overall effort in navigating their
care are particularly important to patients but are difficult to quantify and therefore not captured in the equation above. Furthermore, several patients noted that they were interested in the total costs paid (by the health plan and themselves) for a given treatment, clinician, or hospital because higher total costs would eventually affect their insurance premiums.

An important consideration was whether care was needed or appropriate. Consumers felt that spending money on care that was not needed or inappropriate negatively impacted healthcare’s affordability, as those costs did not help them get better. Yet, there was uncertainty in identifying unnecessary or inappropriate care. For instance, consumers reported that they were often unsure whether they should go to the emergency room for a concern or visit their doctor, and they were unsure how to get information to help make that decision. This example has to be viewed based on people’s overall perceptions of risk and benefit, as some people are more comfortable waiting to see if they get better while others prefer the certainty of a medical examination.

**Affordability Differs for Different Types of Health Decisions**

Different groups of consumers and patients make different healthcare decisions based on their health status. For example, some people are not using many healthcare services, such as younger, healthy adults or “young invincibles,” while other people have significant health needs, such as “frequent flyers” to hospitals or emergency rooms. As an example of the differences in decisionmaking, behavioral economics research shows that people facing repeated decisions, like those with a chronic disease, will have different decisionmaking processes than those dealing with acute conditions.

There are a variety of healthcare decisions—for physical or behavioral health—that a patient might face, including:

- Buying insurance (whether on exchanges, through employer, or with public program)
- Staying healthy (prevention and wellness)
- Getting better (acute care, emergency and urgent care, scheduled and unscheduled care)
- Managing ongoing condition/living with disease (chronic care)
- Dealing with serious illness (e.g., care at the end of life)

Figure 1 illustrates that these different decisions take place in the context of a person’s overall life, underscoring that affordability decisions do not take place in a vacuum. The remainder of this section describes the considerations for each decision.
Buying Insurance
Currently, consumers may buy coverage through their employer; purchase a plan on the newly established health insurance exchanges; or be eligible for care through Medicare, Medicaid, Veterans Health Administration, or other public programs. When there are multiple options from which to choose, consumers need information on the plan’s cost (premiums and cost-sharing), covered services and benefits, and overall quality. This information has changed in recent years with the introduction of coverage facts labels, which were established by the Affordable Care Act. Yet, further information is still needed to understand exactly how an insurance plan affects individual consumers, with their specific health conditions, medications, and regular healthcare services.

Staying Healthy
There are several ways in which clinical care can help people stay healthy or avoid specific conditions, but consumers may not have the information they need to decide which tests, screenings, scans, or services are appropriate for them. As a result, consumers and patients can be subjected to unnecessary tests with no evidence of benefit and possible risk of harm; alternatively, consumers and patients may
not be getting the tests they need, leading to future costs or harm. Addressing this situation may require patients and providers to engage in a shared-decisionmaking process, with communication of more information on what is appropriate and where options exist to prevent a given condition.

**Getting Better**

When seeking unscheduled treatment for an urgent or emergent medical condition, patients may not have time to consider different options for providers or treatments. This is compounded for extremely serious or life-threatening conditions, as noted in the serious illness section. In these situations, cost becomes a small factor in determining where and when treatment is obtained. However, many conditions are less urgent and allow for consumers and patients to choose when and how they seek treatment. For treatment of such conditions, consumers and patients increasingly are making decisions based on cost and quality, including the choice of clinician or hospital.

There is limited data available when selecting clinicians, hospitals, or post-acute care. For consumers with insurance, some information on cost and quality may be available from their health plan. Other information on cost and quality may also be provided by states, federal agencies, nonprofits, and commercial websites. These sources vary in their scientific accuracy, comprehensiveness of information, and usability. However, their proliferation suggests that there is untapped demand for this information.

Beyond the choice of clinicians or hospitals, consumers and patients may also have the opportunity to consider different treatment options. When there is no clear best option, clinicians are increasingly engaging patients in a shared-decisionmaking process to discuss the different risks and benefits of each possible treatment. For example, low back pain may be treated with physical therapy or surgery, and shared decisionmaking could help consumers decide which option is right for them. Evidence suggests that involved patients do make different care choices when involved in shared decisionmaking, often choosing less invasive options. Though clinicians may not always address cost in the conversation, and evidence does not yet exist for how shared-decisionmaking may impact patients’ decisions with respect to cost, the panel believes that providers having an affordability conversation with patients may influence patients’ healthcare choices.

**Managing Ongoing Conditions or Living with Disease**

Patients diagnosed with chronic conditions will have an ongoing relationship with the health system in order to manage their condition and treatment. For example, such patients may require regular medication therapy or regular visits to check their current status. Individuals with more extensive healthcare needs will focus more on healthcare costs because their out-of-pocket expenses will be significantly larger; these individuals are also more likely to reach their out-of-pocket maximum allowances. To help ensure that their care is affordable, individuals with chronic disease will need information about their treatments—whether their medications are on their plan’s formulary, whether options are available, and how to do their part to manage the disease. As they often see multiple providers, they also will need assistance coordinating their care and ensuring that necessary clinical information is shared with all of their providers.
Dealing with Serious Illness

As noted earlier, patients dealing with life-threatening conditions or extremely serious illnesses will view affordability in a different light, as healthcare costs may be only a small part of a patient’s decisionmaking process. Beyond costs, patients managing life-limiting illnesses have additional issues to navigate. Under these difficult circumstances, people have to make decisions about which clinical treatments to pursue or not, how to take advantage of palliative care options to manage their pain or symptoms, and how to ensure that care is respectful of their preferences. Despite progress, there is still evidence that care at the end of life does not align with people’s goals, such as passing away at home versus in the hospital. Furthermore, patients with serious illness may require ongoing caregiving support, either through family and friends or through home and community-based services. These can be costly to obtain (either directly or indirectly through burdens on informal caregivers), and there is little information to help patients and their families make these decisions under stressful circumstances.

Common Challenges Facing Patients

Regardless of the type of decision, the meeting participants highlighted similar challenges in accessing affordable care.

Difficulty Navigating the Health System

An overarching theme throughout the meeting was that healthcare is complex and difficult to navigate. There are many causes for this—care is fragmented among many providers, clinicians may not communicate about a patient’s care, and it is not clear what options people have for their clinician or treatment. These problems are compounded when patients are struggling with an illness and its associated stresses.

However, unless patients and consumers can navigate the system, they will be unable to be active participants in improving the affordability of their care. Technology can provide an opportunity for improving the situation, with new web tools for providing information on options for clinicians and healthcare organizations, connecting consumers and patients to each other, or considering options for healthcare decisions. However, consumers need to be involved in the development of these tools in order to ensure that they are accessible, understandable, and actionable when making healthcare decisions.

Understanding What Questions to Ask

Consumers noted the need for resources to help them understand what information they need to determine if care is affordable. As one panel member noted, “I don’t know what I don’t know.” The panel was clear that many people don’t even know the questions to ask, what resources are available to them, that there are tools that could be useful to them as they navigate healthcare decisions, or how to use available tools. In one survey, when consumers and patients were asked about their confidence in finding more qualified doctors by using quality data and quality information, about 60 percent of participants believed that they could do so. When asked about their confidence in getting lower cost healthcare by comparing cost information and shopping for better prices, only about one-third believed that they could reduce the cost of healthcare. Furthermore, studies suggest that patients need basic
information about their condition first, which can then serve as context when comparing treatment options, providers, or hospitals.\textsuperscript{16}

**Confusing and Uncoordinated Healthcare Billing**

Consumers need information to help them understand billing and what to expect. Consumers expressed frustration with the current way they are billed for healthcare services and the difficulty they experience when trying to understand their bills. There is a need for consumer-friendly language for billing—the terms are not clearly explained and have different meanings than those used in everyday life. This is compounded by the fact that people receive multiple bills for each treatment, such as different bills for radiology or anesthesia or an overall hospital stay. Patients with complex diseases who see multiple clinicians will likely receive a bill from each provider. These patients may set up monthly payment plans to manage the bills, but may juggle multiple bills from different providers, each with a separate minimum monthly payment. Adding to the complexity, some clinicians may allow patients to set up a monthly payment plan, while others may not. Confusing billing causes care to seem less affordable, as well as making the healthcare system harder to navigate.

**Finding Out Prices and Costs in Advance**

The current lack of price transparency makes it difficult for consumers to know what care will cost ahead of time.\textsuperscript{17} Since healthcare prices for private health insurance are generally negotiated, they are often not disclosed to the public. For example, most healthcare facilities, imaging centers, and clinician practices do not have price information publicly available for frequently performed procedures.\textsuperscript{18} Patients are not alone in not knowing this information—their clinician also often does not know the negotiated price of a given treatment or service. The panel noted that the development of tools such as total estimated price of the service, which include a provider’s network status and the patient’s estimated out-of-pocket responsibility, would help patients to make informed decisions and better understand if their decisions are affordable.\textsuperscript{19} Some price and cost resources are available—such as through employers, insurance plans, or state efforts—and more work is needed to make these tools consumer-friendly and provide cost and quality information in an easy to use format.\textsuperscript{20,21}

**Difficulty Obtaining Meaningful, Usable Information About Quality**

Similar to other aspects of people’s lives, consumers and patients do not want to make healthcare decisions on price alone. They want to know if a service will be safe, if it will improve their health, and if they will be treated with respect during the process. Yet, currently available tools do not support this goal—few patients and consumers are aware of publicly reported information of healthcare quality, and fewer still are able to apply it to their particular healthcare decision.\textsuperscript{22,23} Prior research has uncovered multiple factors for effectively presenting quality information—the information must be easy to use, meaningful to a patient’s situation, understandable, and from a trusted source—and more work is needed to address these specific concerns.\textsuperscript{24} The patient participants at the meeting consistently highlighted that they were interested in more information on quality and would like to know where to access that data.
Tailoring Information to People’s Circumstances and Needs

Different consumers and patients have different capabilities for understanding healthcare information, with levels of health literacy, overall literacy, numeracy, and health benefits literacy varying widely. This is partially due to the complexity of modern medicine, as well as the intricacies of the benefit structure of health plans. Tools will need to be customized based on people’s health literacy in order to have the greatest impact, and clinicians and other professionals working with the patient should consider customized communication based on health literacy.

However, the panel noted that consumers will differ in what information they would like, and the same consumer may seek different information depending on both the reasons for which he or she is seeking healthcare and his or her personal circumstances (e.g., available support network, current financial status, current medical conditions, and other factors). As well, patients are at different levels of engagement with their healthcare, and more engaged or empowered patients may be more willing to seek out information and apply it to their care. Furthermore, insured and uninsured patients will have different concerns and needs for information.

As consumers obtain and analyze cost and quality information, each individual will consider it differently as an input into making a healthcare decision. One decisionmaking framework discussed at the meeting was the health belief model (see Table 1), which describes the multiple factors people weigh in any health or healthcare decision. The model is useful for identifying specific factors patients and consumers consider, recognizing how perspectives differ for those factors, and outlining potential strategies for assisting people as they weigh each factor.

Table 1. Summary of Health Belief Model, Which Describes the Factors Individuals Weigh in Making a Health Decision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Strategies for affecting that factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perceptions about possibility of getting a disease or condition (susceptibility)</td>
<td>Help people understand their real susceptibility if it differs from perception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceptions on severity of conditions and their consequences</td>
<td>Communications about the consequences of the condition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceptions of the benefits of treatments</td>
<td>Help people understand what action to take and how to do so, communicate the potential positive effects and risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived barriers to care and costs (direct and indirect)</td>
<td>Identify and reduce the perceived costs and barriers to care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication strategies, reminders, and other cues that could encourage action</td>
<td>Increase awareness through information, providing how-to information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence in ability to take action</td>
<td>Provide training and supports for self-care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from National Institutes of Health.

Moving Forward: Everyone Has a Role to Play

Although this meeting focused on the patient and consumer perspective, it is clear that patients alone cannot accomplish the needed changes. Rather, sustainable change will require effort from additional stakeholders—health plans, purchasers and employers, providers, suppliers and industry, and communities. Each group can take different actions to improve the affordability of healthcare, and each
group has different resources that it can add to the effort. Examples where further action could occur include:

- Clinicians can provide affordability information and help patients understand how it affects their particular situation, perhaps through a shared-decisionmaking model. Patients at the meeting reiterated that their clinician is their preferred and trusted source of information. However, the panel noted that clinicians may not have the tools or training they need to provide patients with necessary price and cost information. The panel noted that clinicians may struggle with the concept of having a conversation about the cost of care and may not know what the total cost of care will be, as that varies based on a patient’s insurance status or where a patient is in regard to their deductible or out-of-pocket maximum. Though patients would prefer that clinicians provide this information, the panel overwhelmingly acknowledged that patients would like cost information provided by any source rather than not having the information at all.
- Health insurance companies could share estimates of expected expenses and provide resources to help consumers understand what care is covered and what expenses they might be responsible for.
- Federal and state agencies, nonprofits, health plans, healthcare organizations, and others can increase the amount of cost and quality information available online. Patients are increasingly turning to the internet for health information, with almost three-quarters reporting that they used the internet to find information in the past year.
- Hospitals and clinicians can provide more transparent pricing and provide consumers with “good faith estimates” about the cost of care before they agree to a service.
- Other patients in similar situations are critical for helping people understand what options mean for their life and can help connect people to healthcare and community resources; however, finding these other patients to serve as a resource presents challenges as well.
- The educational system can integrate health concepts and health benefits concepts into the curriculum, in secondary education and beyond, to increase understanding across society.
- Independent nonprofit organizations, free from conflict of interest or financial incentives, can assist in advancing cultural change and transparency in how purchasers, payers, the federal government, providers, and other stakeholders share cost and quality information.

The actions of all stakeholders can help to ensure that healthcare remains affordable for patients and their families.

Endnotes
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